

United States Patent and Trademark Office



CH

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/050,545	01/18/2002	Yasuhiro Terashima	1684/44903DV	7871	
23911 75	23911 7590 03/19/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP			ROSENBAUM, I	ROSENBAUM, IRENE CUDA	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20044-4300		3726		
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
*		10/050,545	TERASHIMA ET AL.
ی	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•		Irene Cuda-Rosenbaum	3726
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the propersion of the pro	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 in This action is FINAL. 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 10-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress claim(s) 12 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Apple Introduction or introduction of the control Introduction of the control	lication No ceived in this National Stage
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date		mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/050,545

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EPO 239442 for the reasons set forth in paper no. 5.

Epo '442 teaches the method of assembling a heat resistant assembly including applying mortar to the tube assembly, including the interlocking mechanism and the heat resistant block, including the indentations, separately (the claim does not say that the mortar applied to the tube must be done prior to assembly)

And, assembling the tube assembly and the heat resistant block together, wherein the indentations on the block which have been filled with mortar are brought into contact with the interlocking mechanism on the tube assembly, so that the mortar causes the two surfaces to adhere to one another. See the attached translation of the reference which includes labeling of the parts as claimed .The translation describes the material 14, which is in contact with all the claimed parts, as the as an adhering layer of refractory material (mortar). Claim 8 of EPO'442 clearly states that "all the free spaces between the walls (12) of the furnace, the hook elements (10) and the refractory components (160 are filled with the bonding product.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,545

Art Unit: 3726

Applicant has not claimed that the mortar is applied to the tubes and their projections separately form the assembly step. Therefore, the claim is read to include application of mortar to the tube which occurs during the assembly step.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 12 is allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to teach the entire combination of lilmitations in cliam 12, including the controlling step, and in this claim the mortar is read as applied to the fib separate from the assembly step because the use of the removing the excess mortar step would require such.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/23/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that EPO 442 is not a 102 since there is no translation. However, an "X" action on a search report, absent a translation or a discussion of the references by applicant, is considered a 102/103 by the office. A translation has been obtained which verifies the rejection is accurate. The remainder of applicant's arguments are addressed in the above rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Cuda-Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 703-308-1792. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 308-1148. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SCA!

ICR